#### Optimum Resource Allocation and Signalling Schemes in Fading CDMA Channels

#### Şennur Ulukuş

Department of ECE University of Maryland ulukus@eng.umd.edu

Joint work with Onur Kaya.

## Introduction

- Fading: random fluctuations in channel gains.
- If perfect channel state information (CSI) is available at transmitters
  - Dynamic resource allocation to improve quality-of-service or capacity
- Quality-of-service based
  - Provide all users with desired SIR levels
  - Satisfy SIR requirements with minimum transmit power
  - Compensate for channel fading; more power if bad channel, less if good channel
- Capacity based
  - Maximize information theoretic ergodic capacity subject to average power constraints
  - Exploit variations; more power if good channel, less if bad, no power if very bad

## **Illustration of the Channel States**

$$r = \sqrt{ph}x + n$$



## **Illustration of the Channel States**

$$r = \sqrt{ph}x + n$$



## Single User Channel (Goldsmith-Varaiya 1994)

• Channel capacity for single user

$$C = \log(1 + SNR)$$
$$= \log\left(1 + \frac{p}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

• In the presence of fading, the capacity for a fixed channel state *h*,

$$C(h) = \log\left(1 + \frac{p(h)h}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

• Maximize the ergodic (expected) capacity, given an average power constraint

$$\max_{\{p(h)\}} E_{h} \left[ \log \left( 1 + \frac{p(h)h}{\sigma^{2}} \right) \right]$$
  
s.t.  $E_{h} \left[ p(h) \right] \leq \bar{p}$ 

$$p(h) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h}\right)^+$$



$$p(h) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h}\right)^+$$



$$p(h) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h}\right)^+$$



$$p(h) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h}\right)^+$$



$$p(h) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h}\right)^+$$



#### Multiuser Scalar Gaussian Channel (Knopp-Humblet 1995)

• Multiple users, scalar transmissions

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{p_i(\mathbf{h})h_i}x_i + n$$

• Maximize ergodic sum capacity, given average power constraints

$$\max_{\{p_i(\mathbf{h})\}} E_{\mathbf{h}} \begin{bmatrix} \log \left(1 + \sigma^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} h_i p_i(\mathbf{h})\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
  
s.t. 
$$E_{\mathbf{h}} [p_i(\mathbf{h})] \le \bar{p}_i, \quad p_i(\mathbf{h}) \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K$$

• Optimal power allocation: single user waterfilling on disjoint sets of channel states

$$p_k(\mathbf{h}) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h_k}\right)^+, & \text{if } h_k/\lambda_k > h_j/\lambda_j, \quad j \neq k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Only the strongest (after some scaling) user transmits at any given time.

## **Optimum Power Allocation: Scalar Multiuser Channel**





Power Distribution of User 2

### Multiuser Vector (Waveform) Gaussian Channel

- Project the received signal onto *N* basis waveforms.
- CDMA: vector signals modulated by scalar symbols.

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{p_i(\mathbf{h})h_i} x_i \mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{n}$$

• Maximize ergodic sum capacity subject to average power constraints

$$\max_{\{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h})\}} \qquad E_{\mathbf{h}} \left[ \log \left| \mathbf{I}_{N} + \sigma^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} h_{i} p_{i}(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{s}_{i} \mathbf{s}_{i}^{\top} \right| \right]$$
  
s.t.
$$E_{\mathbf{h}}[p_{i}(\mathbf{h})] \leq \bar{p}_{i}, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, K$$
$$p_{i}(\mathbf{h}) \geq 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{h}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K$$

## **Optimal Power Control**

- $C_{\text{sum}}$  is a concave function of powers. Constraint set is convex.
- Using Lagrange method, optimum powers satisfy (by KKT conditions),

$$\frac{h_k \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \mathbf{s}_k}{1 + p_k(\mathbf{h}) h_k \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \mathbf{s}_k} \le \lambda_k, \qquad k = 1, \cdots, K, \qquad \forall \mathbf{h} \in R^K$$

with equality iff  $p_k > 0$ . Here,  $A_k$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{A}_k = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N + \sum_{i \neq k} h_i p_i(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{s}_i \mathbf{s}_i^{\mathsf{T}}$$

• Optimum power allocation:

$$p_k(\mathbf{h}) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k} - \frac{1}{h_k \mathbf{s}_k^\top \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \mathbf{s}_k}\right)^+, \qquad k = 1, \cdots, K$$

• Simultaneous waterfilling of powers onto

inverse of the "SIRs with MMSE receivers and unit transmit powers" of users.

## **Iterative Waterfilling**

• Isolate *k*th user's contribution to sum capacity

$$C_{\text{sum}} = C_k + \overline{C}_k$$
$$C_k = E_{\mathbf{h}} \left[ \log \left( 1 + h_k p_k(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{s}_k^{\top} \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \mathbf{s}_k \right) \right]$$

• Optimize the power of user *k* only, with the powers of all other users fixed.

$$p_{k}^{n+1} = \arg \max_{p_{k}} C_{sum} \left( p_{1}^{n+1}, \cdots, p_{k-1}^{n+1}, p_{k}, p_{k+1}^{n}, \cdots, p_{K}^{n} \right)$$
  
=  $\arg \max_{p_{k}} C_{k} \left( p_{k} \right)$ 

• One-user-at-a-time single user waterfilling:

$$p_k(\mathbf{h}) = \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_k} - \frac{1}{h_k \mathbf{s}_k^\top \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \mathbf{s}_k}\right)^+$$

• Converges to global optimum [Bertsekas-Tsitsiklis].

### **Simultaneous Transmit Regions**

• The regions where both users transmit for the two special cases:



• **Motivation:** for a set of arbitrary signature sequences, is there a set of channel states (with non-zero probability measure) where all users transmit simultaneously?

#### **Simultaneous Transmit Condition**

**Theorem:** There exists a non-zero probability region of fading states **h** where all *K* users in the system transmit simultaneously, if and only if  $\{\mathbf{s}_i \mathbf{s}_i^{\top}\}_{i=1}^K$  are linearly independent.

**Corollary:** When  $K \le N$ , for a set of *K* linearly independent signature sequences, there always exists a non-zero probability region of channel states where all *K* users transmit simultaneously.



## **Transmit Powers: Correlated Signatures**



Power Distribution of User 2





#### **Maximum Number of Simultaneous Transmissions**

**Corollary:** For a set of signature sequences with rank( $\mathbf{S}$ ) =  $M \le \min\{N, K\}$ , the number of users that can transmit simultaneously cannot be larger than M(M+1)/2.

Example: N = 2, K = 3.



Signature sequences  $\{\mathbf{s}_i\}_{i=1}^K$  are linearly dependent, but  $\{\mathbf{s}_i\mathbf{s}_i^{\top}\}_{i=1}^K$  are linearly independent.

### Jointly Optimal Power and Waveform Allocation in Fading

- Dynamic resource allocation transmit powers, bandwidth, time slots; or in general waveforms to combat fading and improve capacity
- Vector (waveform) MAC: allocate transmit powers and waveforms to users.

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{p_i h_i} x_i \mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{n}$$

- Existing literature:
  - Power control only: control powers as a function of CSI in fading [Kaya-Ulukus].
    - \* maximize sum capacity,
    - \* achieve any point on the capacity region (maximize weighted sum of rates).
  - Waveform allocation only: find sum-capacity maximizing set of waveforms for a given set of (fixed) powers in no fading [Rupf-Massey, Viswanath-Anantharam].
    - \* notion of oversized/non-oversized users according to powers,
    - \* orthogonal waveforms to oversized users, GWBE waveforms to non-oversized users.

## Waveform Allocation Only – No Fading, Fixed Powers

Simple example: vectors are signatures with powers.



## Waveform Allocation Only – No Fading, Fixed Powers

Simple example: vectors are signatures with powers.



## Waveform Allocation Only – No Fading, Fixed Powers

Simple example: vectors are signatures with powers.



### Joint Power and Waveform Allocation

- Consider sum capacity of the network. Perfect CSI at the transmitters.
- Then, both powers and waveforms can be chosen as functions of channel states.

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{p_i(\mathbf{h})h_i} x_i \mathbf{s}_i(\mathbf{h}) + \mathbf{n}$$

• Ergodic sum capacity maximization problem becomes

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h}),\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h})} \qquad E_{\mathbf{h}} \left[ \log \left| \mathbf{I}_{N} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} h_{i} p_{i}(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{s}_{i}(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{s}_{i}(\mathbf{h})^{\top} \right| \right] \\ \text{s.t.} \qquad E_{\mathbf{h}} \left[ p_{i}(\mathbf{h}) \right] = \bar{p}_{i}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K \\ p_{i}(\mathbf{h}) \ge 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{h}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K \\ \mathbf{s}_{i}(\mathbf{h})^{\top} \mathbf{s}_{i}(\mathbf{h}) = 1, \quad \forall \mathbf{h}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K \end{cases}$$

## Waveform Optimized Capacity

- First, fix an arbitrary valid power allocation over the fading states.
- For each fixed allocation, find the waveforms that maximize the sum capacity at each state **h**.
- Define the waveform-optimized sum capacity at **h**

$$C_{\text{opt}}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h})) \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h})} C_{\text{sum}}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h}), \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}))$$

• Then, optimize waveform-optimized sum capacity in terms of the powers,

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h})} \quad E_{\mathbf{h}} \begin{bmatrix} C_{\text{opt}}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h})) \end{bmatrix}$$
  
s.t.
$$E_{\mathbf{h}} \begin{bmatrix} p_i(\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} = \bar{p}_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K$$
$$p_i(\mathbf{h}) \ge 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{h}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K$$

# **Choosing the Optimum Waveforms – Illustration**















## **Joint Power and Waveform Allocation** – $K \leq N$

- Optimal waveforms constitute an orthogonal set for any power allocation.
- Problem reduces to K independent single user [Goldsmith-Varaiya] problems, i.e.,

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h})} \qquad E_{\mathbf{h}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{K} \log \left( 1 + \frac{p_i(\mathbf{h})h_i}{\sigma^2} \right) \right]$$
  
s.t. 
$$E_{\mathbf{h}} \left[ p_i(\mathbf{h}) \right] = \bar{p}_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, K$$

• Concave maximization over an affine set of constraints, using KKT conditions,

$$p_i^*(\mathbf{h}) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h_i}\right)^+, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, K$$

• Channel non-adaptive waveform selection is as good as any channel adaptive selection.

## **Joint Power and Waveform Allocation** -K > N

- For a given power control policy  $P(\mathbf{h})$ , let  $L(\mathbf{h})$  and  $\overline{L}(\mathbf{h})$  be sets of oversized and non-oversized users respectively, for a given  $\mathbf{h}$ .
- Define  $\mathbf{D} \triangleq \operatorname{diag}(p_1h_1, \cdots, p_Kh_K)$ . Optimum waveforms satisfy,

$$\mathbf{SDS}^{\top}\mathbf{s}_{i}(\mathbf{h}) = \mu_{i}(\mathbf{h})\mathbf{s}_{i}(\mathbf{h})$$
$$\mu_{i}(\mathbf{h}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{j \in \bar{L}(\mathbf{h})} p_{j}h_{j}}{N - |L(\mathbf{h})|}, & i \in \bar{L}(\mathbf{h}) \\ p_{i}h_{i}, & i \in L(\mathbf{h}) \end{cases}$$

• The waveform-optimized ergodic sum-capacity is then

$$E_{\mathbf{h}}\left[\sum_{i\in L(\mathbf{h})}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{i}(\mathbf{h})h_{i}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)+(N-|L(\mathbf{h})|)\log\left(1+\frac{\sum_{i\in\bar{L}(\mathbf{h})}p_{i}(\mathbf{h})h_{i}}{\sigma^{2}(N-|L(\mathbf{h})|}\right)\right]$$

#### Maximum Number of Simultaneously Transmitting Users

**Theorem 1** Let  $\bar{K}(\mathbf{h})$  be a subset of  $\{1, \dots, K\}$ , such that  $\forall i \in \bar{K}(\mathbf{h})$ ,  $p_i^*(\mathbf{h}) > 0$ , where  $\mathbf{p}^*(\mathbf{h})$  is the maximizer of  $E_{\mathbf{h}}[C_{opt}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{h}))]$ . Then, with probability 1,  $|\bar{K}(\mathbf{h})| \leq N$ .

**Proof**:

- *C*<sub>opt</sub>(**h**, **p**(**h**)) is concave [Viswanath-Anantharam]
- Power constraint set is convex (affine).
- $\mathbf{p}^*(\mathbf{h})$  achieves the global optimum of the sum-capacity  $\Leftrightarrow$  it satisfies the KKT conditions.

$$\frac{h_i}{\mu_i(\mathbf{h}) + \sigma^2} \leq \lambda_i, \qquad \forall \mathbf{h} \qquad \text{w.e. if } p_i(\mathbf{h}) > 0$$

- Let  $|\bar{K}(\mathbf{h})| > N$ . Then, at least  $|\bar{K}(\mathbf{h})| N + 1$  users have the same eigenvalue  $\mu_i(\mathbf{h})$ .
- Then,  $h_i/\lambda_i = h_j/\lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j, i, j \in \overline{K}(\mathbf{h})$  for at least  $|\overline{K}(\mathbf{h})| N + 1$  users.
- This event has zero probability, therefore, with probability one,  $|\bar{K}(\mathbf{h})| \leq N$ .

## **Jointly Optimum Waveforms and Powers** -K > N

- At most *N* users transmit: assign orthogonal waveforms to those users.
- Optimum power allocation is similar to single user waterfilling

$$p_i^*(\mathbf{h}) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} - \frac{\sigma^2}{h_i}\right), & i \in \bar{K}(\mathbf{h}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Here, a channel adaptive allocation of orthogonal waveforms is necessary.
- Define  $\gamma_i = h_i / \lambda_i$ , and let  $\{\gamma_{[i]}\}_{i=1}^K$  be the order statistics for  $\gamma_i$ s, and let for given **h**

$$\gamma_{[1]} \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_{[n]} > \sigma^2 \geq \gamma_{[n+1]} \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_{[K+1]} = 0$$

- If  $n \leq N$ , the users with highest  $n \gamma_i$ 's transmit with powers  $p_i^*(\mathbf{h})$ .
- If n > N, by Theorem 1, the users with highest  $N \gamma_i$ 's transmit with positive powers.

## **Optimum Power Allocation:** K = 4, N = 3

Power Allocation for User 1, h3=h4=0.4



Power Allocation for User 2

Power Allocation for User 1, h3=h4=0.9







### **Iterative Power and Waveform Optimization**

- Already characterized a "closed form" solution for optimal powers and waveforms.
- The optimum resource allocation still depends on  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, K$ .
- Instead of simultaneously solving for all powers, we propose the following algorithm: *repeat*

```
for i = 1 to K and for all h
    -find oversized users
    -compute waveforms for all users
    -update ith user's power using waterfilling keeping other powers fixed
    end
until p(h) converges.
```

### **Convergence of the Iterative Algorithm**

- This algorithm corresponds to iteration of the best waveform-only update for all users and best power-only update for one user, so sum capacity values obtained are non-decreasing.
- The sum capacity is also bounded from above, so this algorithm converges to a limit.
- Same algorithm can be seen as an iterative update directly from powers-to-powers

$$p_k^{n+1}(\mathbf{h}) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k} - \frac{\sigma^2 + \mu_k^n(\mathbf{h}) - h_k p_k^n(\mathbf{h})}{h_k}\right)^2$$

- The fixed point  $\mathbf{p}^{n+1}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{p}^n(\mathbf{h})$  satisfies the KKT conditions for the optimization problem.
- Algorithm converges to the jointly optimum power and waveform allocation.
- **Remark:** Optimum power allocation is unique, optimum waveform allocation is not.

## **Convergence and Comparison to Non-Adaptive Policies**



## Summary

- Characterized optimum power allocation in fading waveform channels
  - Developed an iterative waterfilling algorithm; proved its convergence to global optimum
  - All users transmit simul. with non-zero prob. iff  $\{\mathbf{s}_i \mathbf{s}_i^{\top}\}_{i=1}^K$  are linearly independent
    - \*  $K \leq N$ , signatures independent: all users transmit simultaneously with > 0 probability.
    - \* Maximum number of users that can transmit simul. is M(M+1)/2;  $M = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{S})$ .
- Characterized jointly optimum power and waveform adaptation policy
  - Optimal policy dictates orthogonal transmissions, achieved by
    - \* time division across fading states [Knopp-Humblet-like]
    - \* orthogonal waveforms for multiple users transmitting at a given state
  - Developed an iterative algorithm; proved its convergence to global optimum
- The results may be interpreted as
  - Opportunistic scheduling in waveform channels
  - Cross-layer design: interacting/cooperating physical and MAC layers